arXiv:math/0207310v1 [math.NT] 29 Jul 2002

LOCAL-GLOBAL PROBLEM FOR DRINFELD MODULES

G.J. VAN DER HEIDEN

Abstract. In this paper we study the group

$$S(a,K) := \ker\left(E(K)/aE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} E(K_\nu)/aE(K_\nu)\right)$$

in two cases. In the first case E is a Drinfeld module, K a function field and (a) a principal prime ideal in the ring A of regular functions which occurs in the definition of a Drinfeld module. In the second case E is an elliptic curve over a number field K and a is a prime number. We will prove that $S(a,K)$ is trivial in the elliptic curve case. In the Drinfeld module case we will compute $S(a,K)$ when the Drinfeld module has rank 2. We will show in what cases this group is trivial and that it can become arbitrarily large.

1. The Drinfeld module case

Let X be a projective, smooth, absolutely irreducible curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$, with $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) = p$, and let $\infty \in X$ be some fixed closed point on X. $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ will denote the function field of X and A will denote the ring of functions in $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ which are regular outside ∞.

Let K be some separable, finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$, which is also an A-algebra via the natural embedding $\gamma : A \longrightarrow K$ and let K^s be the separable closure of K inside some algebraic closure K^{alg} of K. Let $K\{\tau\}$ be the skew polynomial ring consisting of elements $\sum_i k_i\tau^i$, $k_i \in K$. Multiplication in $K\{\tau\}$ is given by the rule $\tau k = k^q\tau$ for all $k \in K$.

Let φ be a *Drinfeld module over K of rank r*, i.e. φ is a ring homomorphism

$$\varphi : A \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{G}_{a,K}) \cong K\{\tau\},$$

such that for all $a \in A$

$$\varphi_a = \sum_{i=0}^{r\deg(a)} k_i\tau^i, \quad \text{with } k_{r\deg(a)} \in K^*, k_0 = \gamma(a).$$

Note that we write φ_a instead of $\varphi(a)$.

For any field extension L of K, φ induces an A-module structure on L via the embedding $K \subset L$, by

$$\varphi_a(l) = \sum_i k_i\tau^i(l) = \sum_i k_i l^{q^i} \quad \text{for all } l \in L.$$

Date: May 30, 2002.

$E(L)$ denotes L with this A-module structure.
We write $E[a](L)$ for $\ker(\varphi_a)(L)$ and moreover aQ for $\varphi_a(Q)$, with $Q \in E(L)$. Let $(a) \subset A$ be a principal prime ideal of A. The object of study in this paper is the kernel

$$S(a,K) := \ker\left(E(K)/aE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_{\nu} E(K_\nu)/aE(K_\nu)\right),$$

where the product is taken over all places ν of K.

2. The group $S(a,K)$

For any $P \in E(K)$, let

$$K_P := \text{the splitting field of } \varphi_a(Z) - P \in K[Z] \text{ over } K.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The finite field extensions $K \subset K_0 \subset K_P$ are Galois.*

Proof. Because $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}Z}(\varphi_a(Z) - P) = \gamma(a) \neq 0$, the polynomial $\varphi_a(Z) - P$ is separable and hence K_P is a finite Galois extension of K. In particular K_0 is a Galois extension of K. Moreover for any P, let $Q \in K_P$ be a zero of $\varphi_a(Z) - P$, then $K_P = K_0(Q)$, because $Q + \ker(\varphi_a(Z))$ is the set of all zeroes of $\varphi_a(Z) - P$. Hence the extension $K_P \supset K_0$ is also Galois. □

Note that $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ is a function field, i.e., a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, for some transcendental element $t \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$. For function fields, as well as for number fields, we have *Chebotarev's density theorem*, cf. [Jar82]. The following lemma is a consequence of this theorem.

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a function field (resp. a number field) and let L be a finite separable extension of K. If for all places ν of K there exists a place ω of L of degree 1, then $K = L$.*

Proof. Let M be the normal closure of L/K, then both M/L and M/K are finite Galois extensions. Let $H = \mathrm{Gal}(M/L)$ and $G = \mathrm{Gal}(M/K)$. By Chebotarev, every $\sigma \in G$ is the Frobenius of some place μ of M lying above some place ν of K. This implies that $\sigma \mod \nu$ generates the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k_\mu/k_\nu)$, where k_μ and k_ν denote the residue fields at μ and ν respectively. Because both M/K and M/L are Galois, there is a $\tau \in G$ such that the conjugate $\mu' = \tau(\mu)$ of μ lies above a place ω of L, which has degree 1 over ν. In particular we see that $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$ generates $\mathrm{Gal}(k_{\mu'}/k_\nu) = \mathrm{Gal}(k_{\mu'}/k_\omega)$, where the equality follows from the fact that $\deg(\omega/\nu) = 1$. And thus we see that $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \in H$, so $\sigma \in \tau^{-1}H\tau$.
We conclude that

$$G = \bigcup_{\tau \in G} \tau H \tau^{-1} = \bigcup_{\tau H \in G/H} \tau H \tau^{-1}.$$

Note that $1 \in \tau H \tau^{-1}$ for all $\tau \in G$. On the other hand, G equals the union of all distinct cosets τH, which is a disjoint union. By comparing the number of elements one sees that this is only possible if $H = G$, hence $K = M^G = M^H = L$. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *For every class* $[P] = P + aE(K) \in S(a, K)$ *we have* $K_P = K_0$. *In particular* $S(a, K_0) = 0$.

Proof. First note that for every $Q \in aE(K)$, we have $K_Q = K_0$, hence the extension K_P only depends on the class $[P] = P + aE(K)$.
Let now $P \in S(a, K)$ and let ν be a place of K. Then $K \subset K_0 \subset K_P$ and correspondingly we have places ν, ν_0 and ν_P, with ν_0 a place of K_0 lying above ν and ν_P a place of K_P lying above ν_0.
Because $P \in S(a, K)$, there exists a solution of $\varphi_a(Z) - P = 0$ in K_ν, hence all solutions of this equation lie in $(K_0)_{\nu_0}$. This means that $K_0 \subset K_P \subset (K_0)_{\nu_0}$. It follows that $(K_0)_{\nu_0} = (K_P)_{\nu_P}$ and in particular $\deg(\nu_P/\nu_0) = 1$. From lemma's (2.1) and (2.2), it follows that $K_P = K_0$.
If $[P] \in S(a, K_0)$, then $(K_0)_P = (K_0)_0 = K_0$, hence $P \in aE(K_0)$, thus $[P] = 0$. $\square$

We write thoughout this paper $G_{K_0} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_0/K)$.

Proposition 2.4. *We have*

$$S(a, K) \cong \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\mathrm{Res}_\omega).$$

Here the intersection is taken over all places ω *of* K_0 *and* Res_ω *is the restriction map*

$$\mathrm{Res}_\omega : H^1(G_{K_0}, E[a](K_0)) \longrightarrow H^1(D_\omega, E[a]((K_0)_\omega)),$$

where D_ω *denotes the decomposition group at* ω.

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S(a,K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S(a,K_0)=0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \Phi \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E(K)/aE(K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E(K_0)/aE(K_0) \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r] & \prod_\nu E(K_\nu)/aE(K_\nu) \arrow[r] & \prod_\omega E(K_{0,\omega})/aE(K_{0,\omega}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Clearly, the second and third row are exact as well as all columns. From this it follows that also the first row is exact. Hence we see that $S(a, K) \cong C$.
To determine the groups C and B, we use some Galois cohomology. Starting from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E[a](K^s) \longrightarrow E(K^s) \xrightarrow{\varphi_a} E(K^s) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we deduce that

$$E(K)/aE(K) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K^s/K), E[a](K^s)).$$

By additive Hilbert 90 the cokernel of this map is $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K^s/K), E(K^s)) = 0$, because $E(K^s)$ is here just $(K^s)^+$, hence

$$E(K)/aE(K) \cong H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K^s/K), E[a](K^s)).$$

Similarly we deduce that

$$E(K_0)/aE(K_0) \cong H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K^s/K_0), E[a](K^s)).$$

In particular this means that

$$\Phi \cong H^1(G_{K_0}, E[a](K_0)).$$

Applying the same arguments to K_ν and $K_{0,\omega}$, for some place ν of K and ω of K_0 lying above ν, we deduce

$$\ker\left(E(K_\nu)/aE(K_\nu) \longrightarrow E(K_{0,\omega})/aE(K_{0,\omega})\right) \cong H^1(D_\omega, E[a](K_{0,\omega})),$$

where D_ω denotes the decomposition group at ω. This isomorphism implies that

$$B \cong \prod_\nu \bigcap_{\omega|\nu} H^1(D_\omega, E[a](K_{0,\omega})),$$

where the product runs over all places ν of K. If we furthermore note that the map Res_ω only depends on the place ν underlying ω, it follows that C is the kernel of the map $\prod_\nu \mathrm{Res}_\omega$, with Res_ω as in the proposition. $\square$

3. THE GROUP $H^1(G_{K_0}, E[a](K_0))$

In the following we will write $\mathbb{F} = A/(a)$ and $V = E[a](K_0)$. For all field extensions $L \supset K_0$, we have $V = E[a](L)$. Note that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$, because (a) is prime. It is well-known that $V \cong \mathbb{F}^r$, where r is the rank of φ. The action of $\sigma \in G_{K_0}$ on elements in K_0 commutes with the action of φ_f for all $f \in A$ and hence we have a representation

$$G_{K_0} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}),$$

which is an embedding because K_0 is given by adjoining the elements of V, which are the zeroes of $\varphi_a(Z)$, to K.

Proposition 3.1. *For every Drinfeld module of rank* 1*, we have* $S(a, K) = 0$*.*

Proof. Note that $G_{K_0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$ and thus $p \nmid \#G_{K_0}$, but p does divide $\#V = \#\mathbb{F}$, hence $H^1(G_{K_0}, V) = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\mathbb{F}$ *be a finite field of characteristic* p *and let* W *be an* $\mathbb{F}$*-vectorspace of dimension* r*. If* $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$*, then*

$$H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) = 0.$$

If $p \neq 2$*, then*

$$H^1(\mathrm{Sl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) = 0.$$

Proof. For the first part, note that if $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$, then we may choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$, such that $\alpha \neq 1$. Hence $H = \langle \alpha I \rangle$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})$. Note that $W^H = 0$. Moreover $H^1(H, W) = 0$, because this group is annihilated by both $\#H$, which is prime to p, and p. By the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})/H, W^H) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F}), W) \longrightarrow H^1(H, W) = 0,$$

the first statement follows.

For the second part, we let H be the normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Sl}_r(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of the diagonal matrices, whose diagonal entries are 1 or -1. If $r > 1$, then H is not trivial and $W^H = 0$. Moreover $\#H \mid 2^r$, hence $H^1(H, W) = 0$, because it is annihilated by both 2 and $p \neq 2$. Applying the same sequence as in the proof of the first part gives the result. □

Remark 3.3. For rank $r = 2$ the Galois group G_{K_0} is generically $\mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F})$, cf. [Gar01]. It is conjectured that for arbitrary rank this is also true, i.e. the Galois group is generically $\mathrm{Gl}_r(\mathbb{F})$. Proposition (3.2) states that given this conjecture, $S(a, K)$ is generically 0.

4. The rank 2 case.

From now on we will assume that the rank of the Drinfeld module φ is 2. Throughout the rest of this paper H will denote

$$H := G_{K_0} \cap \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}).$$

Note that H is a normal subgroup of G_{K_0} and that $p \nmid [G_{K_0} : H]$, hence

$$H^1(G_{K_0}/H, V^H) = 0$$

and we see by group cohomology that

$$H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V).$$

The classification of subgroups $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ from [Suz82] shows that H is one of the following.

1. $p \nmid \#H$.
2. D_{2n}; in this case $p = 2$ and n is odd.
3. A_5; in this case $p = 3$.
4. $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$, where $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$.
5. $\langle \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^k}), \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rangle$, where λ^2 generates $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, but $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.
6. H is a Borel group, i.e., H has a normal abelian p-Sylow subgroup Q, such that H/Q is cyclic of order dividing $\#\mathbb{F}^*$.

In the following proposition we deal with most of the subgroups in the classification.

Theorem 4.1. *If H is of type* (1) *or* (2) *or if $p > 2$ and $2 \mid \#H$, then*

$$H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

Consequently, in all these cases $S(a, K) = 0$.

Proof. We consider the different types of H:
Type (1). $H^1(H,V)$ is annihilated by both p and $\#H$, hence

$$H^1(H,V)=0.$$

Type (2). In this case $p=2$. We consider the following exact sequence, where x is one of the generators of order 2 of D_{2n}

$$H^1(D_{2n},V)\xrightarrow{\text{Res}}H^1(\langle x\rangle,V)\xrightarrow{\text{Cor}}H^1(D_{2n},V).$$

Now by [Ser79] Cor $\circ$ Res $=n$. Because x is of order 2 and $p=2$, we may assume that

$$x=\begin{pmatrix}1 & c\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition (4.3) implies that

$$H^1(\langle x\rangle,V)=0,$$

hence $H^1(H,V)$ is annihilated by both 2 and an odd number n.

Type $p>2$ *and* $2\mid\#H$. This implies that H contains the non-trivial normal subgroup $\langle\pm1\rangle$. Now the exact sequence

$$0=H^1(H/\langle\pm1\rangle,V^{\langle\pm1\rangle})\longrightarrow H^1(H,V)\longrightarrow H^1(\langle\pm1\rangle,V)=0,$$

gives that $H^1(H,V)=0$. □

Remark 4.2. The only cases of the classification which are not covered by this theorem are the cases when H is of type (4) or (5), when $p=2$, and H is of type (6) (such that $2\not|\,\#H$).
If $p=2$ and H is of type (4), then by [CPS75], table 4.5, we obtain that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}H^1(G_{K_0},V)=1$. So if $p=2$ and H is of type (4) or (5), this might give rise to examples for which $S(a,K)$ is non-trivial. If this is possible, then the construction should be analogous to the construction in case H is of type (6), which we will give in section (5).

H of type (6)*.* In the rest of this section we will assume that H is of type (6) and we compute $H^1(H,V)$. Let Q by the p-Sylow subgroup of H. Clearly

$$H^1(H/Q,V^Q)=0,$$

because this group is annihilated by both p and $\#(H/Q)$, which is prime to p. It follows that

$$H^1(G_{K_0},V)\hookrightarrow H^1(H,V)\hookrightarrow H^1(Q,V).$$

Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $p^k=\#Q$, then $Q=\langle\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k\rangle$ and $H=\langle Q,\rho\rangle$, where

$$\sigma_i=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \lambda_i\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad \rho=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & 0\\ 0 & \alpha^{-1}\end{pmatrix},$$

such that the λ_i are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ generates H/Q. Let $\tau \in Q$ and write $\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle}$ for the residue map

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle} : H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\tau\rangle, V).$$

Proposition 4.3. *The $\mathbb{F}$-vectorspace $H^1(Q,V)$ has dimension*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q,V) = \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q & \text{if } p > 2 \\ -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

If $H = Q$ and $\sigma \in Q$ is not the identity, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q.$$

Proof. We write $V = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2$. Note that V is an $\mathbb{F}[Q]$-module. The group ring $\mathbb{F}[Q]$ is isomorphic to the commutative ring $\mathbb{F}[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k]$ with only relations $\sigma_i^p = 1$. Write $x_i = \sigma_i - 1$, then $\mathbb{F}[Q]$ is the commutative ring $R = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ subject to the relations $x_i^p = 0$ and these are the only relations.

Note that $\mathbb{F}$ is isomorphic to $R/(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$. To compute $H^1(Q,V)$, we consider the following free resolution of the R-module $\mathbb{F}$:

$$R^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \xrightarrow{d_1} R^k \xrightarrow{d_0} R \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where R linear maps are given as follows:

$$d_{-1} : 1 \mapsto 1 \mod (x_1, \ldots, x_k),$$

write $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ for generators of R^k over R, then

$$d_0 : b_i \mapsto x_i,$$

write $c_1, \ldots, c_k, c_{i,j}$ with $1 \le i < j \le k$ for the generators of $R^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}$, then

$$d_1 : c_i \mapsto x_i^{p-1} b_i, \quad d_1 : c_{i,j} \mapsto x_i b_j - x_j b_i.$$

To see that the given sequence is exact, note that $\ker(d_0)$ is generated by the elements $d_1(c_i), d_1(c_{i,j})$ for all i, j, because these exactly describe all relations in de the ring R.

From this sequence we arrive at the cocomplex

$$V^{k+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)} \xleftarrow{d_1} V^k \xleftarrow{d_0} V \longleftarrow 0,$$

with

$$d_0(v) = (x_1 v, \ldots, x_k v),$$

and

$$d_1(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = (x_1^{p-1} v_1, \ldots, x_k^{p-1} v_k, (x_i v_j - x_j v_i)_{i<j}).$$

To compute $\ker(d_1)$ and $\mathrm{im}(d_0)$, note that the action of R on V is given by $x_i e_1 = 0$ and $x_i e_2 = \lambda_i e_1$ for all i. From this it follows immediately that $\mathrm{im}(d_0)$ is generated over $\mathbb{F}$ by the vector $(\lambda_1 e_1, \ldots, \lambda_k e_1)$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{im}(d_0) = 1$.

To compute $\ker(d_1)$, note that $x_i^{p-1} v = 0$ for all v if $p > 2$. So if $p > 2$, then an element of V^k lies in $\ker(d_1)$ iff $x_i v_j = x_j v_i$. Write $v_i = a_i e_1 + b_i e_2$, with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$, then

$$x_i(a_j e_1 + b_j e_2) = \lambda_i b_j e_1 = x_j(a_i e_1 + b_i e_2) = \lambda_j b_i e_1.$$

From this it follows that $\ker(d_1)$ is generated by

$$(e_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, e_1), (\lambda_1 e_2, \dots, \lambda_k e_2),$$

hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(d_1) = k+1$. So we see that for $p > 2$, that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = k$.

If $p = 2$, then elements in $\ker(d_1)$ must satisfy $x_i^{p-1} v_i = x_i v_i = 0$, hence $v_i = a_i e_1$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence $\ker(d_1)$ is contained in the span of

$$(e_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, e_1).$$

For vectors in this span clearly also the other equations $x_i v_j = x_j v_i$ hold, hence this span equals $\ker(d_1)$ and thus $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \ker(d_1) = k$. So for $p = 2$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(Q, V) = k - 1$.

Clearly, by this computation $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, V) = 1$ if $p > 2$ and 0 if $p = 2$. From this the dimension formula for $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle \sigma \rangle})$ follows. □

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that $H \neq Q$, say $H/Q \cong \langle \alpha \rangle$, with $2 \nmid \mathrm{ord}(\alpha)$. Let $\delta = 1$ if $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha) = 3$ and $p > 2$ and $\delta = 0$ otherwise. Let $l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha^{p^j} \neq \alpha^2 \text{ for all } j; \\ l + \delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First note that we may extend the restriction-inflation sequence as follows (cf. [Ser79]):

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(H/Q, V^Q) \longrightarrow H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(Q, V)^{H/Q} \longrightarrow H^2(H/Q, V^Q),$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$H^1(H, V) \cong H^1(Q, V)^{H/Q},$$

because $H^1(H/Q, V^Q) = H^2(H/Q, V^Q) = 0$.

We will now compute the H/Q-invariant cocycle classes in $H^1(Q, V)$. We will use the following notation: for a cocycle $\xi : Q \longrightarrow V$ we write

$$\xi(\sigma_\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} x_\lambda \\ y_\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write $x : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ for the map $x : \lambda \mapsto x_\lambda$ and $y : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ for the second coordinate, then the cocycle relations and the relations between the elements in Q imply that x and y are determined by the following relations:

$$(1a) \quad x(\mu + \lambda) = x(\mu) + x(\lambda) + \lambda\mu y(1)$$

$$(1b) \quad y(\lambda) = \lambda y(1) \quad \text{and } y(1) = 0 \text{ if } p = 2.$$

So we see that in particular that y is $\mathbb{F}$-linear. Let

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where α is as in the proposition. The action of H/Q on cocycles is given as follows: the cocycle $\alpha\xi$ maps $\sigma \mapsto \rho^{-1}\xi(\rho\sigma\rho^{-1})$. An easy computation now shows that a cocycle class $[\xi]$ represented by a cocycle ξ is invariant under H/Q when there is a coboundary η, given by $(m_1, m_2) \in V$, such that for all $\sigma_\lambda \in Q$,

$$\rho^{-1}\xi(\sigma_{\alpha^2\lambda}) = \xi(\sigma_\lambda) + \eta(\sigma_\lambda).$$

Let now $\tilde{\eta}$ be the coboundary given by $(0, \frac{m_2}{\alpha-1}) \in V$. An easy computation shows that if we replace ξ by $\xi - \tilde{\eta}$, then for this ξ the following equation holds:

$$(2) \quad \rho^{-1}\xi(\sigma_{\alpha^2\lambda}) = \xi(\sigma_\lambda).$$

This ξ represents the class $[\xi]$ uniquely and the relations read in coordinates:

$$\begin{array}{rr} (2a) & \alpha^{-1}x(\alpha^2\lambda) = x(\lambda) \\ (2b) & \alpha y(\alpha^2\lambda) = y(\lambda). \end{array}$$

So if we let W be the $\mathbb{F}$-vectorspace consisting of tuples (x, y), where $x : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is subject to the relations $(1a)$ and $(2a)$ and $y : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is subject to the relations $(1b)$ and $(2b)$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} W$. We will compute the latter dimension.

$(1b)$ and $(2b)$ imply that $\alpha^3 y(1) = y(1)$. So either $y = 0$ or α has order 3 and then y is determined by $y(1)$.

If we let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l$ be generators of $\mathbb{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$, where $l = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}$, then by $(1a)$ and $(2a)$, x is determined by $x(\lambda_i)$, with $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W \leq l + \delta$.

Suppose that $y(1) = 0$, then x is $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear. Let h be the minimal polynomial of α^2, then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $0 = x(h(\alpha^2)\lambda) = h(\alpha)x(\lambda)$. Hence if $h(\alpha) \neq 0$, i.e. if h is not the minimal polynomial of α, then $x(\lambda) = 0$. Note that h is the minimal polynomial of α iff $\alpha^{p^j} = \alpha^2$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover if $\delta = 1$, then the order of α is 3, i.e. $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ and in particular this means that $\alpha^p = \alpha^2$. So we see that if $\alpha^{p^j} \neq \alpha$, then $x(\lambda) = y(\lambda) = 0$, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = 0$.

Suppose now that $\alpha^{p^j} = \alpha^2$ and let $y(1) = 0$, then x is not only $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear, but even $\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$-semi linear. This means that the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace of W consisting of the tuples (x, y), with $y = 0$, has dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]} \mathbb{F}' = l$.

If $\delta = 0$, then this subspace equals W and we see $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = l + \delta$. If $\delta = 1$, then the dimension of W is either l or $l + 1$. So let $\delta = 1$, then $p > 2$ and $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha) = 3$. Suppose that $y(1) \neq 0$ and let $x : \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be given by $x(\lambda) = c\lambda^2$, where $c = \frac{1}{2}y(1)$. Then one checks easily that x has property $(1a)$. And because $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha) = 3$, it has property $(2a)$ as well. This shows that W contains an element (x, y) with $y \neq 0$, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(H, V) = l + \delta$. □

In the following lemma, we show that $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$ does not depend on the choice of $1 \neq \sigma \in Q$.

Lemma 4.5. *For all $\sigma, \tau \in Q$, with both σ and τ not the identity, we have* $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle})$.

Proof. For $p = 2$, by proposition (4.3) $H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V) = 0$, hence $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = H^1(H, V)$ for all $\sigma \in Q$.
Let now $p > 2$. Note that

$$\ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = H^1(H, V) \cap \ker(H^1(Q, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)),$$

because $H^1(H, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(Q, V)$, so we may assume that $H = Q$.
Clearly, if σ and τ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $\langle\sigma\rangle = \langle\tau\rangle$, so $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\tau\rangle})$. If σ and τ are independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then we may extend them to a basis $\langle\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\rangle$, with $\sigma = \sigma_1, \tau = \sigma_2$ and $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q$. We write $V = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2$ such that the σ_i's are upper triangular on the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Note that the kernel of $\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma_i\rangle}$ is the image of

$$H^1(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, V^{\langle\sigma_i\rangle}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, \mathbb{F}\cdot e_1)$$

under the injective inflation map. The inflation map

$$\mathrm{Inf} : \mathrm{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_i\rangle, \mathbb{F}\cdot e_1) \longrightarrow H^1(Q, V)$$

is given by $\hat{\xi} \mapsto [\xi]$, such that if $\hat{\xi}([\sigma_j]) = a_j \in \mathbb{F}, j \neq i$, then ξ is the cocycle given by

$$\xi(\sigma_j) = (a_j, 0) \in V, \quad j \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(\sigma_i) = (0, 0).$$

Now we will show that $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\sigma_k}) \subset \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\sigma_l})$. If $[\xi] \in \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\sigma_k})$, it comes from a $\hat{\xi}$ as mentioned above. Now let η be a coboundary given by $(m_1, m_2) \in V$, hence

$$\eta : \sigma_i \mapsto (\lambda_i m_2, 0) \quad \text{for all} \quad i.$$

We choose m_2 such that $\lambda_l m_2 + a_l = 0$, then by construction there is a $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathrm{Hom}(Q/\langle\sigma_l\rangle, \mathbb{F}\cdot e_1)$ such that $\mathrm{Res}_{\sigma_l}(\tilde{\xi}) = [\xi + \eta]$. This shows that $[\xi] = [\xi + \eta] \in \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\sigma_l})$.

□

Recall that for any place ω of K_0 the map Res_ω is the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Res}_\omega : H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(D_\omega, V).$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow K\{\tau\}$ be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 and let $H = G_{K_0} \cap \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be of type* (6)*, with p-Sylow group Q. Let $1 \neq \sigma \in Q$, then*

$$S(a, K) = \ker(H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)) \bigcap_{\omega : p^2 | \# D_\omega} \ker(\mathrm{Res}_\omega),$$

where the intersection is taken over places ω of K_0. This intersection is finite.

Proof. Suppose that ω is any place of K_0. If $p \nmid \# D_\omega$, then $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_\omega) = H^1(G_{K_0}, V)$, because $H^1(D_\omega, V) = 0$.
If $p \mid \# D_\omega$, but $p^2 \nmid \# D_\omega$, then

$$\ker(\mathrm{Res}_\omega) \subset \ker(H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)),$$

because $H^1(D_\omega, H) \hookrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)$. By Chebotarev's density theorem, it follows that there exists a place ω of K_0 with $D_\omega \cong \langle\sigma\rangle$, from which the theorem follows.
For the finiteness of the intersection, note if $p^2 \mid \# D_\omega$, then ω is ramified and there are only finitely many ramified places. □

Remark 4.7. Clearly, $\ker(H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)) \subset \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$, with $\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle} : H^1(H, V) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V)$ as before. Hence (4.6) combined with (4.4) and (4.3) gives a bound on $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K)$.

Corollary 4.8. *If φ is a Drinfeld module of rank 2 over $\mathbb{F}_p$, $p > 2$ prime and $(a) \subset A$ is a prime ideal of degree 1, then $S(a, K) = 0$.*

Proof. In this case we have by theorem (4.6) that $S(a, K) \cong \mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ and because $H^1(G_{K_0}, V)$ embeds into $H^1(Q, V)$, we have by lemma (4.5), that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K) \leq -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q$. Note that now $G_{K_0} \subset \mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q = 1$. □

The proof of theorem (6.1) is similar to the proof of this corollary.

5. Examples of non-trivial $S(a, K)$

Example 5.1. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, where $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) > 2$ and let $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$. Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow K\{\tau\}$ be a Drinfeld module of rank 2 given by $\varphi_t = t + t\tau + t^2\tau^2$. We let $K_0 = K(\ker(\varphi_t))$, then $\mathrm{Gal}(K_0/K) \subset \mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
We consider the decomposition group D_t. Clearly, the Newton polygon of $\varphi_t(Z)$ has two slopes, namely 0 and $\frac{1}{q(q-1)}$. To factor $\varphi_t(Z)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q((t))[Z]$, we need at least a completely ramified extension of degree $q(q-1)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$. Hence $D_t \cap \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ contains a subgroup of q elements. If we compare this with the classification of subgroups of $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in section (4), we see that D_t contains $\mathbb{F}_q$ as a subgroup. We conclude that $\mathrm{Gal}(K_0/K)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Recall that a system of Artin-Schreier equations over some $\mathbb{F}_p$-field M

$$\begin{cases} z_1^p - z_1 = f_1 & f_1 \in M \\ \quad\vdots & \\ z_n^p - z_n = f_n & f_n \in M, \end{cases}$$

is called *independent* over M, if for all $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$, such that not all λ_i are 0, the equation $z^p - z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i$ has no solutions in M. Such a system gives rise to a tower of field extensions $M = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \ldots \subset M_n$ where the extension M_i/M_{i-1} is given by $z_i^p - z_i = f_i$ and is of degree p.

Example 5.2. Let $q = p^k$, $p > 2$ and let

$$\varphi : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \longrightarrow K\{\tau\},$$

be a Drinfeld module of rank 2, such that $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\ker(\varphi_t)/K)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

e.g. the examples of (5.1). We write

$$\varphi_t = t + tc_1\tau + tc_2\tau^2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in K,$$

hence K_0 is the splitting field of the equation

$$(1) \qquad 1 + c_1 Z^{q-1} + c_2 Z^{q^2-1} = 0.$$

Furthermore there exist elements $P, Q \in K_0$, such that $\ker(\varphi_t) = \mathbb{F}_q \cdot P + \mathbb{F}_q \cdot Q$. We let $L = K_0^H$. Because $\mathrm{Gal}(K_0/L) = H$, we may assume that $P \in L$.
If we substitute $U = Z^{q-1}$ in (1), we get

$$(2) \qquad 1 + c_1 U + c_2 U^{q+1} = 0.$$

Let L_1 be the splitting field of (2), then we have the field inclusion $L \subset L_1 \subset K_0$, where the latter field extension is given by the equation $U = Z^{q-1}$. This implies that $[K_0 : L_1] \mid q-1$, but $[K_0 : L] = \#H = q$, hence $L_1 = K_0$. This shows that K_0 is the splitting field of (2) over L.
Because $P \in L$, we already know a solution of (2), namely $u = P^{q-1}$. Substituting $V = U - u$ in (1) gives

$$1 + c_1(V+u) + c_2(V+u)(V^q + u^q) = c_1 V + c_2 V u^q + c_2 u V^q + c_2 V^{q+1}.$$

Subsequently we divide out V and substitute $W = V^{-1}$, which shows that K_0/L is the splitting field of the equation

$$(3) \qquad W^q + \frac{c_2 u}{c_1 + c_2 u^q} W + \frac{c_2}{c_1 + c_2 u^q} = 0.$$

To simplify this equation a little more, we consider it over the extension $L(b)$ of L, with $b^{q-1} = -\frac{c_2 u}{c_1 + c_2 u^q}$. Because $[L(b) : L] \mid q-1$, the degree of $M := L(b)$ over L is relatively prime to q, hence the splitting field $M_0 := K_0(b)$ of (3) over M also has Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(M_0/M) \cong H$.
Substituting $bX = W$ in (3) gives

$$X^q - X = f, \quad \text{where} \quad f = \frac{1}{bu}.$$

The following theorem shows that $S(a, K)$ can be arbitrarily large.

Theorem 5.3. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, there exists a function field K, a Drinfeld module $\varphi : A \longrightarrow K\{\tau\}$ and a prime ideal $(a) \subset A$, such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} S(a, K) = k$.*

Proof. Let $q = p^k$ for some integer $k > 1$ and $p > 2$ a prime. The computations of example (5.1) and (5.2) show that there is a Drinfeld module φ over some function field M, such that $M_0 = M(\ker(\varphi_t))$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and moreover this extension M_0/M is an Artin-Schreier extension given by

$$X^q - X = f, \quad f \in M. \tag{4}$$

This extension is also given by the system of Artin-Schreier equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1^p - x_1 = \beta_1 f \\ \quad\vdots \\ x_k^p - x_k = \beta_k f \end{cases}, \tag{5}$$

where the $\beta_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p$. To see this, write $z = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. An easy computation shows that z is a solution of $X^q - X = f$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \cdots & \beta_k \\ \beta_1^p & \cdots & \beta_k^p \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \beta_1^{p^{k-1}} & \cdots & \beta_k^{p^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because this matrix is invertible (cf. [Gos96]), it follows that (5) is indeed equivalent to $X^q - X = f$.

Now we consider the extension $M(z_1)/M$, given by $z_1^p - z_1 = \beta_1 f - g_1$, where we choose g_1 as follows: for the finitely many places ν of M, for which $v_\nu(f) < 0$, we let $v_\nu(g_1) > 0$ and for one place ν_0 for which $v_{\nu_0}(f) > 0$, we let $v_{\nu_0}(g_1) = -1$. Such a g_1 exists, cf. [Bou89], corollary VI.2.1. The condition $v_{\nu_0}(g) = -1$, makes sure that system given by (5) and the equation for z_1 is independent: Namely, by Hensel's lemma all equations of (5) have solutions in M_{ν_0}, whereas the equation for z_1 gives rise to a totally ramified extension of degree p.

We conclude that (5) is still independent over $M(z_1)$. Now we consider $M(z_1, z_2)/M(z_1)$ given by $z_2^p - z_2 = \beta_2 f + g_2$. We choose g_2 in the same way as we chose g_1, with M replaced by $M(z_1)$. This implies that (5) is independent over $M(z_1, z_2)$. By repeating this we get that (5) is independent over the field $M(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1})$.

Let $L/M(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1})$ be the field extension given by (5), then its Galois group is H. Let ν be a place of $M(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1})$ and let v_ν be its corresponding valuation. Let ω be a place of L lying above ν. We distinguish the following cases.

(a) $v_\nu(f) > 0$, in this case we see that the equations of (5) are over the residue field given by $x_i^p - x_i = 0$, hence they split completely over the residue field. Hensel's lemma implies that D_ω is trivial.

(b) $v_\nu(f) = 0$, then also $v_\nu(\beta_i f) = 0$, hence all equations of (5) are over the residue field given by $x_i^p - x_i = \alpha_i$, with α_i in the residue field. Hence all equations only give rise to a residue field extension. This shows that ν is in L and thus D_ω is cyclic and can have at most p elements, because the elements of H have at most order p.

(c) $v_\nu(f) < 0$. Note that the equations $x_i^p - x_i = \beta_i f$ are equivalent to $y_i^p - y_i = g_i$ by substituting $y_i = z_i - x_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$. Because by construction $v_\nu(g_i) > 0$, it follows that these equations give a trivial extension at ν. So only the equation $x_k^p - x_k = \beta_k f$ can give rise to a non-trivial extension, but this extension has at most degree p, hence D_ω can at most have p elements.

We see that at any place ω, the decomposition group D_ω has at most p elements. This means that for the non-trivial D_ω, the kernel $\ker(\mathrm{Res}_\omega)$ has dimension

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \ker(\mathrm{Res}_\omega) = -1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^1(H, V) = k - 1,$$

by proposition (4.3). Hence it follows by proposition (4.5), that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} S(t, M(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1})) = k - 1.$$

□

6. The elliptic curve case

In this section we will treat the analogous problem for elliptic curves. Let E be an elliptic curve over some number field K and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number. For any $P \in E(K)$ we denote $K_P = K(p^{-1}P)$. In this section we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field K, let p be a prime number, then the kernel*

$$S(p, K) = \ker\left(E(K)/pE(K) \longrightarrow \prod_\nu E(K_\nu)/pE(K_\nu)\right),$$

where ν runs through the places of K, is trivial.

As before, we will write $G_{K_0} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_0/K)$. Because $E[p](\overline{K}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p P + \mathbb{F}_p Q$, with $P, Q \in K_0$, we see that

$$G_{K_0} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Clearly $K_0 \subset K_P$. We will denote $V = E[p](K_0) = E[p](K_{0,\omega})$.

Proposition 6.2. *For every $P \mod pE(K) \in S(p, K)$, we have $K_P = K_0$. In particular $S(p, K_0) = 0$.*

Proof. For every $Q \in pE(K)$, clearly $K_Q = K_0$, hence K_P only depends on the class $[P] = P + pE(K)$. Furthermore, if $P \in S(p, K)$, then $P \in pE(K_\nu)$ for every place ν of K. If we let ν_0 be a place of K_0 lying above ν and ν_P be a place of K_P lying above ν_0, then this implies that $(K_\nu)_P \subset K_{0,\nu_0}$. This gives rise to an embedding $K_0 \subset K_P \subset K_{0,\nu_0}$, hence $\deg(\nu_P/\nu_0) = 1$. By lemma (2.2) it follows that $K_P = K_0$.
Now $S(p, K_0) = 0$ as in (2.3). □

Proposition 6.3. *We have that*

$$S(p,K) \subset \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\omega}),$$

where the intersection is taken over all places ω *of* K_0 *and the map* Res_ω *is the restriction map*

$$\mathrm{Res}_\omega : H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \longrightarrow H^1(D_\omega, V),$$

with D_ω *the decomposition group at* ω*.*

Proof. As in the proof of (2.4), we have the following diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S(p,K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S(p,K_0)=0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \Phi \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E(K)/pE(K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E(K_0)/pE(K_0) \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r] & \prod_\nu E(K_\nu)/pE(K_\nu) \arrow[r] & \prod_\omega E(K_{0,\omega})/pE(K_{0,\omega}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying the same arguments of Galois cohomology as in the proof of proposition (2.4) we obtain injections $E(L)/pE(L) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/L), E[p](\overline{K})$ for $L = K$ and $L = K_0$. This gives rise to an embedding $\Phi \hookrightarrow H^1(G_{K_0}, V)$. Arguing in the same way we get an embedding

$$B \hookrightarrow \prod_{\nu} H^1(D_\omega, E[p](K_{0,\omega}),$$

where the product runs over all places ν of K. This implies that

$$C \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{\omega} \ker(\mathrm{Res}_\omega).$$

□

Let $H = G_{K_0} \cap \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. As before we have

$$H^1(G_{K_0}, V) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V).$$

Because $H \subset \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we have that H is one of the following, cf. the classification in section (4):

(1) $p \nmid \#H$.
(2) D_2; in this case $p = 2$.
(3) $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.
(4) H is a Borel group, i.e. H has a cyclic normal subgroup $Q = \langle\sigma\rangle$ of order p and H/Q is cyclic of order dividing $p-1$.

Proposition 6.4. *If* H *is of type* (1)*,* (2) *or* (3)*, then* $H^1(G_{K_0}, V) = 0$*.*

Proof. Except for the case $H = \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, this follows from (4.1). So let $H = \mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and let $\sigma \in H$ be an element of order 2. The group $H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V) = 0$ - this is (4.3), with $p = 2$ and $Q = \langle\sigma\rangle$. Consider the restriction-corestriction sequence

$$H^1(H, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cor}} H^1(H, V).$$

Then $\mathrm{Cor} \circ \mathrm{Res} = [H : \langle\sigma\rangle]$, hence

$$[H : \langle\sigma\rangle] H^1(H, V) = 0.$$

Because $[H : \langle\sigma\rangle]$ is relatively prime to 2, it follows that $H^1(H, V) = 0$. □

Proof. Of theorem (6.1). Because $S(a, K) \hookrightarrow H^1(H, V)$, we know by (6.4) that if H is not of type (4), then $S(a, K) = 0$. Suppose now that H is of type (4) and let $\sigma \in H$ be an element of order p. Then by Chebotarev and (6.3), we have $S(a, K) \hookrightarrow \ker(\mathrm{Res}_{\langle\sigma\rangle})$. By (4.3), this kernel has dimension $-1 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} Q = -1 + 1 = 0$. □

REFERENCES

[Bou89] N. Bourbaki. *Elements of Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. Commutative Algebra. Chapters 1-7, Reprint.

[CPS75] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott. Cohomology of finite groups of Lie type. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (45):169–191, 1975.

[Gar01] F. Gardeyn. *t-Motives & Galois Representations.* PhD thesis, University of Ghent, 2001.

[Gos96] D. Goss. *Basic structures of function field arithmetic.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[Jar82] M. Jarden. The Čebotarev density theorem for function fields: an elementary approach. *Math. Ann.*, 261(4):467–475, 1982.

[Ser79] J.-P. Serre. *Local fields.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. Translated from the French by M. J. Greenberg.

[Suz82] M. Suzuki. *Group theory. I.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. Translated from the Japanese by the author.

VAKGROEP WISKUNDE RUG, P.O. BOX 800, 9700 AV GRONINGEN, THE NETHERLANDS